# DISTRIBUTION OF PHOSPHATASE IN A BROWN LUVIC SOIL UNDER WHEAT AND MAIZE CROPS

# Alina-Dora SAMUEL<sup>1</sup>, Simona VICAŞ<sup>2</sup> & Monica ŞIPOŞ<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>University of Oradea, Department of Plant Biology, Str. Armatei Romane, 5, 410087 Oradea, e-mail: samuelalina@rdslink.ro <sup>2</sup>University of Oradea, Faculty of Environmental Protection, Str. Gen Magheru, 26, 410048 Oradea, e-mail: simona.vicas@rdslink.ro

**Abstarct.** Phosphatase activities were determined in the 0-20-, 20-40- and 40-60-cm layers of a brown luvic soil submitted to a complex tillage (no-till and conventional tillage), crop rotation (2- and 3- crop rotations) and fertilisation [mineral(NP) fertilisation and farmyard-manuring] experiment. It was found that the activities decreased in the order: acid phosphatase activity > alkaline phosphatase activity. Each activity decreased with increasing sampling depth. No-till –in comparison with conventional tillage – resulted in significantly higher soil phosphatase activities in the 0-20-cm layer and in significantly lower activities in the deeper layers. The soil under maize or wheat was more phosphatase-active in the 3- than in the 2-crop rotation. In the 2-crop rotation higher soil phosphatase activities were recorded under wheat than under maize. Farmyard-manuring of maize – in comparison with its mineral fertilisation – led to a significant increase in each activity.

## INTRODUCTION

The importance of phosphatases for plant nutrition has repeatedly been pointed out [1,2,3]. In most soils, the organically bound P- fraction is higher than the inorganic. Phosphorus uptake by plants requires mineralization of the organic P-component by phosphatases to orthophosphate [7]. Phosphatases are inducible enzymes that are produced predominantly under conditions of low phosphorus availability. Phosphatases are excreted by plant roots and by microorganism but microbial phosphatases dominate in soils.

The phosphomonoesterases (so-called phosphatases) differ in their substrate specificity and their pH optimum. One can thus differentiate between acid and alkaline phosphatase in the soil.

In this aim, we determined acid and alkaline phosphatase activities in a brown luvic soil submitted to a complex tillage, crop rotation and fertilisation experiment at the Agricultural Research Station in Oradea (Bihor county).

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

The ploughed layer of the studied soil is of mellow loam texture, it has a pH value of 5.5, medium humus(2.32%) and P(22 ppm) contents, but it is rich in K (83 ppm).

The experiment started in 1992. The experimental field occupying 3.84 ha was divided into plots and subplots for comparative study of no-till and conventional tillage, rotations of 2 and 3 crops, and mineral (NP) fertilisation and farmyard-manuring.

The crops of the two rotations are wheat and maize. Each plot consisted of two subplots representing the no-till and conventional tillage variants. The plots were annually NP-fertilised at rates of 120 kg of N/ha and 90 kg of P/ha, excepting in each year, a maize plot (in the 6-crop rotation) which received farmyard (50t/ha) instead of mineral fertilisers. The plots (and subplots) were installed in three repetitions.

In October 2004, soil was sampled from all subplots. Sampling depths were 0-20, 20-40 and 40-60 cm. The soil samples were allowed to air-dry, then ground and passed through a 2 mm sieve and, finally, used for determination of phosphatase activities. Disodium phenylphosphosphate served as enzyme substrate [2,7]. Two activities were measured: acid phosphatase activity in reaction mixtures to which acetate buffer (pH 5.0) was added and alkaline phosphatase activity in reaction mixtures treated with borax buffer (pH 9.4). The buffer solutions were prepared as recommended by [7].

The reaction mixtures consisted of 2.5g soil, 2 ml toluene (antiseptic), 10 ml buffer solution and 10 ml 0.5% substrate solution. Reaction mixtures without soil or without substrate solution were the control. All reaction mixtures were incubated at  $37^{0}$ C for 2 hours. After incubation, the phenol released from the substrate under the action of phosphatases was determined spectrophotometrically (at 614 nm) based on the colour reaction between phenol and 2,6-dibromoquinone-4-chloroimide [2,7]. Phosphatase activities are expressed in mg phenol/g soil/2 hours. The activity values were submitted to statistical evaluation by the two –way t-test [5].

### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Results of the determination of phosphatase activities are presented in Table 1, and those of the statistical evaluation are summarised in Table 2.

Comparison of the two phosphatase activities measured. At the same soil depth (0-20-, 20-40-, or 40-60-cm) in both subplots under wheat and maize crop of both 2- and 3- crop rotations, the activities decreased in the order: acid phosphatase activity > alkaline phosphatase activity (Table 1). This decreasing order is also valid for the mean values of the two activities (Table 2).

Variation of the two soil phosphatase activities in dependence of sampling depth. It is evident from Table 2 that each phosphatase activity decreased with sampling depth in both subplots under wheat and maize crops. In addition, Table 2 shows that the mean values of each of the two activities in both non-tilled and conventionally tilled subplots also decreased with increasing soil depth.

The effect of tillage practices on the phosphatase activities in soil. Each of the two phospatase activities determined was significantly higher (at least at p < 0.02) in the upper (0-20- cm) layer of the non-tilled subplots than in the same layer of the conventionally tilled subplots. The reverse was true (at least at p < 0.01) in the deeper (20-40- and 40-60-cm) layers. These findings are valid for subplots under each crop of both rotations.

The effect of crop rotations on the phosphatase activities in soil. For evaluation of this effect, the results obtained in the three soil layers analysed in the two subplots of each plot were considered together.

Soil phosphatase activities as affected by different crops in the same rotation

The 2-crop rotation. Acid phosphatase activity measured in the wheat soil exceeded significantly (p < 0.01) the coresponding activity recorded in the maize soil. Alkaline phosphatase activity is the same under wheat and maize crops.

The 3-crop rotation. Significant (p < 0.05 to p < 0.001) and unsignificant (p > 0.05 to p > 0.10) differences were registered in the soil phosphatase activities depending on the type of activity and the nature of crop. Based on these differences the following decreasing orders of the activities could be establised in the soil:

- acid phosphatase activity: maize (FYM) > maize > wheat;

- alkaline phosphatase activity: maize (FYM) > maize > wheat.

It is evident from these orders that position 1 is occupied by the farmyard-manured maize plot, followed by minerally fertilised cereal (maize and wheat) plots.

Soil phosphatase activities as affected by fertilisation. The two maize plots in the 3-crop rotation could serve for comparing the effect of mineral (NP) fertilisation (plot 1) and farmyard-manuring (plot 3) on the soil phosphatase activities. Each activity was higher in the farmyard-manured maize plot than in the minerally fertilised maize plot. The differences were significant (at least at p < 0.01).

### CONCLUSIONS

The soil phosphatase activities decreased in the order: acid phosphatase activity > alkaline phosphatase activity.

Each phosphatase activity decreased with increasing soil depth.

No-till in comparison with conventional tillage - resulted in higher phosphatase activities in the 0-20- cm soil layer and in lower activities in the 20-40- and 40-60- cm soil layers.

The 3-crop rotation – as compared to the 2 – crop rotation led to higher phosphatase activities in the soil layers under maize or wheat.

In the 2.crop rotation, the soil layers under wheat were more phosphatase-active than those under maize.

Farmyard-manuring – in comparison with mineral (NP) fertilisation proved to be more efficient in increasing phosphatase activities in soil layers under maize in the 6-crop rotation.

## REFERENCES

Dick, R.P., A review: long – term effects of agricultural systems on soil biochemical and microbial parameters . Agric., Ecosyst.Environ., 40, 1992, 25-36.

Drăgan-Bularda, M. Lucrări practice de microbiologie generală, pp163-167, Univ. Babeș-Bolyai, Cluj-Napoca, 1983.

Lynch, J.M. Soil Biotehnology: Microbiological Factors in Crop Productivity, Blackwell, Oxford-London, 1983.

Pang, P.C., Kolenko, H., Phosphomonoesterases activity in forest soils, "Soil Biol. Biochem, ", 18, 1986, 35-40. Sachs, L., Statistische Auswertungsmetoden, pp.140, 309-310, Springer Berlin, 1968.

Samuel, A.D., Kiss, S., The effects of soil management practices on the enzymatic activies in a brown luvic soil. "Stud. Univ. Babas-Bolyai, Biol.", 39 (1-2),1999, 190-197.

Schinner, F., Öhlinger, R., Kandeler, E., Margesin, R., Methods in Soil Biology. pp 208-210, Springer, Berlin. 1996.

Soil	Soil	Rotation of 2 crops**			Rotation of 3 crops**						
phosphatas	depth	Wheat		Maize		Maize		Wheat		Maize (FYM)	
e activity*	(cm)	N.t.	C.t.	N.t	C.t.	N.t	C.t.	N.t	C.t.	N.t	C.t.
Acid	0-20	0.263	0.206	0.221	0.200	0.280	0.246	0.336	0.316	0.304	0.296
	20-40	0.166	0.239	0.192	0.196	0.150	0.163	0.209	0.221	0.178	0.207
	40-60	0.122	0.165	0.115	0.139	0.122	0.146	0.122	0.158	0.161	0.162
Alkaline	0-20	0.202	0.194	0.258	0.173	0.240	0.195	0.268	0.241	0.314	0.250
	20-40	0.136	0.165	0.118	0.157	0.146	0.163	0.178	0.208	0.201	0.205
	40-60	0.050	0.081	0.044	0.079	0.064	0.092	0.082	0.095	0.052	0.064

Table 1 The effects of soil management practices on phosphatase activities in a brown luvic soil

\* Expressed in mg phenol/g soil/2 hours. \*\* N.t. – No-till. C.t. – Conventional tillage. (FYM) – (farmyard –manured).

Table 2	Significance of the differences between phosphatase activities in a brown luvic soil submitted to different							
management practices								

Management	Soil	Soil depth (cm)		an activity va	Significance of the	
practices	enzymatic		management practices			differences
1	activity*	2	a 1	b	a-b	7
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.
N. (117.)	AcPA	0-20	0.296	0.272	0.024	0.002>p>0.001
No-till(a) versus		20-40	0.178	0.202	-0.024	0.02>p>0.01
conventional tillage(b)		40-60	0.128	0.148	-0.020	0.01>p>0.002
	AlkPA	0-20	0.256	0.218	0.038	0.01>p>0.002
		20-40	0.155	0.178	-0.023	0.001>p>0.0001
		40-60	0.060	0.080	-0.020	0.001>p>0.0001
The same crop in th						
Maize in 2-crop	AcPA	0-60	0.177	0.185	-0.008	0.01>p>0.002
rotation (a)versus maize in 3-crop rotation (b)	AlkPA	0-60	0.138	0.150	-0.012	0.0001>p
Wheat in 2-crop rotation(a) versus	AcPA AlkPA	0-60 0-60	0.194 0.138	0.227 0.179	-0.033 -0.041	0.10>p>0.05 0.002>p>0.001
wheat in 3-crop rotation (b)						···· F ····
Different crops in th 2-crop rotation	ne same rotatio	n				
Maize (a) versus	AcPA	0-60	0.177	0.194	-0.017	0.01>p>0.002
wheat (b)	AlkPA	0-60	0.138	0.138	0.000	-
3-crop rotation						
Maize (a) versus	AcPA	0-60	0.185	0.227	-0.042	0.02>p>0.01
wheat (b)	AlkPA	0-60	1.150	0.179	-0.029	0.01>p0.002
Maize (a) versus	AcPA	0-60	0.185	0.218	-0.033	0.001>p>0.0001
maize (FYM)**(b)	AlkPA	0-60	0.150	0.181	-0.031	0.01>p>0.002
Wheat (a) versus	AcPA	0-60	0.227	0.218	0.009	0.01>p>0.002

\* AcPA – Acid phosphatase activity. AlkPA – Alkaline phosphatase activity. \*\* (FYM) – (farmyard-manured)